

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.3

SECTION II

S U P R E M E      C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).4584-4585/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 08/06/2011 in BA No. 723/2011 & BA No.724/2011 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

SHARAD KUMAR ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 20/06/2011      These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Petitioner(s)      Mr.Altaf Ahmed, Sr.Adv.(In SLP(Crl.)4584/11)  
                                 Mr.Sushil Kumar, Sr.Adv.(In SLP(Crl.)4585/11)  
                                 Mr.R.Shunmungasundaram, Sr.Adv.  
                                 Mr. V.G. Pragasam,Adv.  
                                 Mr.S.J.Aristotle, Adv.  
                                 Mr.Aditya Kumar, Adv.  
                                 Mr.Harpreet Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)      Mr.A.S.Chandhiok, ASG  
                                 Ms.Sonia Mathur, Adv.  
                                 Ms.Shweta Verma, Adv.  
                                 Mr.Arvind Kr.Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

## O R D E R

These petitions are directed against order dated 08.06.2011 passed by the learned Single Judge of the Delhi High Court whereby he rejected the petitions filed by the petitioners under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties at length and perused the record of the case. In our view, the reasons assigned by the learned Special Judge and the learned Single Judge of the High Court for refusing to entertain the petitioners' prayer for bail do not suffer from any legal infirmity.

2

The special leave petitions are accordingly dismissed.

However, liberty is given to the petitioners to file fresh applications under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure before the Special Court after framing of the charges. In her application, petitioner no.2 shall be entitled to again invoke the provisions of Section 437 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The fresh applications filed by the petitioners shall be decided by the Special Court without being influenced by the rejection of the earlier applications.

(Satish K.Yadav)  
Court Master

(Indu Satija)  
Court Master