

"

C.A.No. 2181 OF 2002

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2181 OF 2002@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Chilipireddy Pullareddy

...Appellant

versus

Kaki Srirama Venkata Subbarao & Ors.

...Respondents

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

L.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.
.SP2

Delay in filing the application for substitution is
condoned.

Substitution allowed.

The learned counsel for the appellants states that
the matter has been amicably settled out of the Court and a
fresh lease agreement dated 22nd February, 2003 has been
executed. In view of this fact, the appellants do not wish
to proceed with the appeal. The learned counsel for the
respondents disputes the correctness of the factum of out
of Court settlement. However, as the appellants do not
wish to proceed with the appeal, the appeal is directed to
be dismissed without any adjudication on merits.

.SP1

AA@@
.....J. @@
AA
(R.C. LAHOTI) @@
AAAAAAAAAAAAA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AA@@
AA@@
AA@@
.....J.@@
AA
(ASHOK BHAN) @@
AA
New Delhi,@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
May 08, 2003. @@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.PA

ITEM No.26

Court No. 4

SECTION XIIA

Civil Appeal No.2181/2002

CHILIPIREDDY PULLAREDDY

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

KAKI SRIRAMA VENKATA SUBBARAO & ORS.
(With Appln(s). for substitution)
(With Office Report)(FOR DIRECTIONS)

Respondent (s)

Date : 08/05/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

For Appellant (s) Mr. Ananga Bhattacharya, Adv.
 Mr. P.S. Narasimha, Adv.
 Mr. Sridhar P., Adv.
 Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. K. Ram Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1 (N. Annapurna) (Radha R. Bhatia)
 Court Master Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file.)