

**SECTION-III-A
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9647, 11399, 9648, 9650, 9664, 9665, 9668, 9669, 9651, 9652,
9653, 9656, 9657, 9659, 9660, 9654, 9655, 9658, 11397, 9661, 9662, 9663,
9666, 9667 AND 9649 OF 2011**

FOOD CORPORATION OF INDIA, SANGRUR

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING MATTER

The office report is prepared as per circular No. F. 41/Jul./2008 dated 17th December, 2008.

CA Nos. 9647, 11399, 9648, 9650, 9664, 9665, 9668 AND 9669 OF 2011

(A) There is sole Respondent in all the matters above mentioned and is represented through the Counsel.

(C) Not applicable.

(D) Not applicable.

(E) No application for substitution is pending.

(E) Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but Counsel for the Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that the Respondent does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.

(G) Not applicable.

CA Nos. 9651, 9652, 9653, 9656, 9657, 9659 AND 9660 OF 2011

(A) There are six Respondents in all the matters above mentioned and are represented through Counsel.

(C) Not applicable.

(D) Not applicable.

(E) No application for substitution is pending.

(E) Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but Counsel for the Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed

that the Respondent does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.

(G) Not applicable.

CA Nos. 9654, 9655 AND 9658 OF 2011

(A) There are two Respondents in all the matters above mentioned and both are represented through Counsel.

(C) Not applicable.

(D) Not applicable.

(E) No application for substitution is pending.

(E) Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but Counsel for the Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that the Respondent does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.

CA Nos. 11397, 9661, 9662, 9663, 9666 AND 9667 OF 2011

(A) There is sole Respondent in all the matters above mentioned and is represented through the Counsel.

(C) Not applicable.

(D) Not applicable.

(E) No application for substitution is pending.

(F) Counsel for both the parties have not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that both the parties does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.

(G) Not applicable.

CA NO. 9649 OF 2011

(A) There is sole Respondent and is represented through Counsel.

(B) Not applicable.

(C) Not applicable.

(D) No application for substitution is pending.

(E) Counsel for both the parties have filed statement of case.

(G) Not applicable.

The office report is listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court for orders.

DATED THIS THE 14TH DAY OF JULY, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO:

Mr. Y. P. Rao, Advocate
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Advocate
Dr. Kailash Chand, Advocate
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Advocate
Mr. Ajay Pal, Advocate
Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

RM4