

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No.1 In WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 559 OF 1994
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

R.D.UPADHYAY Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P & ORS Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for Intervention and office report)

Date: 14/08/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rajender Pd. Saxena,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Sukhbeer Kour Bajwa,Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

Ms. A.Subhashini ,Adv

Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava ,Adv

Mr. Gopal Singh ,Adv
Mr. Anant Sharma,Adv.

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar ,Adv

Ms. Hemantika Wahi ,Adv
Ms. Nandani Gupta,Adv.

Mr. K.B. Rohtagi ,Adv

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal ,Adv

Mr. K. Ram Kumar ,Adv

Mr. Naresh K. Sharma ,Adv

Mr. P. Parmeswaran ,Adv

Mrs. Aruna Mathur,Adv.
M/S Arputham,Aruna & Co. ,Adv

...2/-

Item No.70

: 2 :

Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu ,Adv

Mr. D.S. Mahra ,Adv
Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee ,Adv

Mr. V.G. Pragasam ,Adv

Mr. Mukesh K. Giri ,Adv

Mr.Khwairakpam Nobin Singh ,Adv

Mr. Radha Shyam Jena ,Adv

Mr. Anil Shrivastav ,Adv
Mr. Rituraj Biswas,Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde ,Adv

Mr. Rajiv Mehta ,Adv

Mr. Subramonium Prasad ,Adv

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu ,Adv
Ms. Savita Devi,Adv.

Mr. Gopal Prasad ,Adv

Mr. Abhijit Sengupta ,Adv
Mr. B.P. Yadav,Adv.

Ms. Kamakshi S. Mehlwal ,Adv

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy ,Adv

Ms. Rachana Srivastava ,Adv
Mr. Rahul Verma,Adv.

Ms. Bina Madhavan ,Adv

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra ,Adv
Mr. K.K. Mahalik,Adv.

Mr. Navnit Kumar,Adv.
M/S Corporate Law Group ,Adv

Ms. Kavita Wadia ,Adv

Mr. Rajesh Srivastava ,Adv
Mr. Atul Jha,Adv.

...3/-

Item No.70

: 3 :

Mr. C.D. Singh ,Adv
Mr. Vikas Bansal,Adv.

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia ,Adv
Mr. Mukesh Verma,Adv.

Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra ,Adv

Ms. Sumita Hazarika ,Adv

Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran ,Adv

Ms. Susmita Lal ,Adv

Mr. Anuvrat Sharma ,Adv
Mr. Sulaiman Mohd. Khan,Adv.

Mr. Gunnam Venkateswara Rao ,Adv

Mr. Sunil Fernandes ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The matter was in the regular hearing matter Part II. In the

meanwhile, counsel for the applicant in the intervention application had expired. Notice of alternative arrangement was issued to the applicant which was initially returned, referring the matter to the counsel who had filed the application. Subsequent notice was sent by the Registry on 27.3.2012 through a Special Messenger. It has been returned with remarks "above mentioned addressee not situated at a given address". Since the counsel for the applicant has expired and two attempts to serve notice of alternative arrangement have failed, I feel no purpose will be served by ordering any further step since address of the applicant is not available on record. In the light of the Circular date 1.9.1995 list the matter before the Hon'ble Court, as per Rule.

|
|s

|

|(SUNIL THOMAS)
|Registrar

|