



ITEM NO.16 COURT NO.3 SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s). 5748/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 27-01-2026 in CRLBA No. 1307/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

NANASAHEB BABURAO SHINDE Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR. Respondent(s)

Date : 06-05-2026 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.K. MAHESHWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ATUL S. CHANDURKAR

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Sudhanshu Chaudhari, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Vaibhav Yeshwant Kulkarni, AOR
Mr. Pratik Jedhe, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR
Mr. Geo Joseph, Adv.
Mr. Rizvi Muhamed, Adv.
Ms. Vishnu Priya, Adv.

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.
Ms. Chitransha Singh Sikarwar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Against an order rejecting the regular bail by the High Court and to seek bail, the present special leave petition has been filed.

2. The petitioner is in custody w.e.f. 14.01.2022 in connection with FIR No. 20 of 2022 dated 12.01.2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 307, 341, 143, 147, 148, 149, 427, 120(B) and 201 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, Sections 3(24) and 4(25) of the Arms Act,, Sections 3 and 7 of Criminal Law Amendment Act, Sections 37(1), 37(3) read with Section 135 of the Maharashtra Police Act and Sections 3(1) (i), 3(2), 3(3) and 3(4) of the Maharashtra Control of Organised Crime Act, 1999 registered with Police Station Lonikand, District Pune City, Maharashtra.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, looking to the role as assigned to him of having common intention of meetings done with the co-accused persons, simultaneously he has not made any overt act to deceased at the time of commission of crime. It is alleged that charges have not been framed yet and the son of the petitioner has also been murdered in cross-case by the other side. Considering the period of custody, delay in trial and also looking to the age of the accused, i.e., 70 years, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, at present we are inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

3. Accordingly, we direct that the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing the suitable bail bonds and

sureties and on such other terms and conditions as may be deemed fit by the trial Court.

4. Petitioner to abide all the conditions as imposed and. The petitioner is directed to attend the trial regularly without the leave and permission of the court. The petitioner shall not intimidate the complainant or the witnesses in any manner. Violation, if any, may give a cause to the complainant as well as the State to take recourse as permissible and the trial Court is at liberty to do the needful.

5. Since the custody of the accused persons is prolonged and the charges have not yet been framed by the Court, Therefore, it is directed that the charges be framed within two months from today and

the trial be concluded within a period of two years.

6. Accordingly and in view of the foregoing, the special leave petition stands allowed.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(NAND KISHOR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR