

ITEM NO.25

COURT NO.9

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).12207/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 19/06/2006 in A No. 301/2004 in S No. 3406/1993 & in A N O.

382/2004 in S No. 1900/2002 of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

KESHAV K. GOHIL & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DINSHAW SORABJI GHANDY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 07/08/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TARUN CHATTERJEE

For Petitioner(s)

Ms.Indira Jaisingh, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma,Adv.

Ms.Neelam Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.Ashok Desai, Sr.Adv.

Mr.R.N.Karanjawala, Adv.

Ms.Nandini Gore, Adv.

Ms.Pragya Singh Baghel, Adv.

Ms.Diya Kapur, Adv.

Mrs Manik Karanjawala,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard Ms.Indira Jaisingh, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr.Ashok Desai, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents. We have also perused the consent terms and also the order passed by the High Court which is impugned in this Special Leave Petition. Both parties have accepted the consent terms which are self-operative. Having accepted the consent terms, both parties are

2

bound by the said terms. There is no merit in this Special Leave Petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

However, the learned senior counsel for the petitioners seeks further four weeks' time to comply with the directions issued by the High Court. The learned senior counsel for the respondents has no objection for extending the time by four weeks from today for making payment. We accordingly extend the time as prayed for.

Failure to comply with this Order within the extended period, the respondents are at liberty to proceed with the auction. No costs.

(Satish K. Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master