



ITEM NO.8

COURT NO.7

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SPetition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6055/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-02-2026 in CRMM No. 66016/2025 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh]

ANIL MOHAN BHARDWAJ

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 103469/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT & IA No. 103468/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 29-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Vivek Sood, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shivendra Singh, AOR
Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, Adv.
Ms. Prakriti Rastogi, Adv.
Ms. Aryama Singh Rajput, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Alok Sangwan, Sr. A.A.G.
Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, AOR
Mr. Sumit Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Sangwan, Adv.
Mr. Vaibhav Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Harsh Mehla, Adv.
Ms. Divya Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Aman Dev Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Sabarni Som, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Exemption Applications are allowed.

2. The petitioner has been denied regular bail in connection with First Information Report bearing No.256/2023 registered with Industrial Sector 29, Police Station, Panipat, the State of Haryana for the offence punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 respectively (for short "IPC").

3. It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner made a false representation before the first informant that he would be in a position to secure a job with the Indian Army and saying so is alleged to have obtained an amount of Rs.7,00,000/- and odd.

4. *Prima facie*, it appears that the High Court declined to release the petitioner on bail having regard to the criminal antecedents. We are informed that the petitioner is involved in five different cases of similar nature. However, the fact is that the petitioner is in judicial custody past more than 2 years. Till this date, the Trial Court has not been in a position to examine a single witness.

5. In such circumstances, referred to above, we are of the view that we should enlarge the petitioner on bail, subject to some strict terms and conditions.

6. We order that the petitioner be released on bail forthwith, if not required in any other case, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) the petitioner shall furnish bail bonds of Rs.5,00,000/- with two solvent sureties of the like amount;

(ii) he shall mark his presence at the Industrial Sector 29 Police Station, Panipat every 15 days;

(iii) if the petitioner indulges in any other illegal activity or any other offence is registered against him, this Order of bail shall stand automatically cancelled and it shall be open for the concerned Police Station to take him back in custody.

7. Since the charge has been framed by the Trial Court, let the recording of oral evidence commence at the earliest.

8. With the aforesaid, this petition stands disposed of.

9. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(VISHAL ANAND)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)