

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) No.327/2006

NANDI INFRA. CORRIDOR ENTERPRISES LTD. & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA  
Respondent(s)

& ANR.

(With appln. for interim directions)

Date: 21/07/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. D.A. Dave, Sr.Adv. (For Petnr.No.1)

Mr. Ashok Desai, Sr.Adv. (For Petnr.No.2)

Mr. R.F. Nariman, Sr.Adv. (For Petnr.Nos.3 & 4)

Mr. K.T. Anantharaman, Adv.

Mr. R.V.S. Naik, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Singh, Adv.

Mr. Harris Beeran, Adv.

Mr. Tarak Damani, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Goel, Adv.

Mr. Bharat Dave, Adv.

Mr. Vasudevan Raghavan, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

-State:

Mr. M.L. Verma, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Udaya Holla, Adv.Genl.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

Mr. Anil K. Mishra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Issue notice.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the State of

Karnataka. It is not necessary to issue notice to respondent No.2 for the present.

Having regard to the serious charge of malafides made in the petition in

constituting the Commission of Inquiry in terms

...2/-

-2-

of the Notification dated 10th July, 2006, we are favourably inclined to stay the

proceedings but Mr. Verma, learned senior counsel, appearing for the State of Karnataka,

submits that without prejudice to its right, the State Government would not proceed

further before the Commission of Inquiry. In this view, it is not necessary to pass an

order of stay.

Reply affidavit be filed within four weeks and rejoinder within four weeks

thereafter.

Court Master

Asstt.Registrar