

|-
C.A.No. 1357 OF 2003
PART-HEARD
ITEM No.101

Court No. 6

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No. 1357 of 2003

ASHISH SAHKARI GRIH NIRMAN SAMITI Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF BIHAR & ORS. Respondent (s)

(With office report)

With Civil Appeal Nos. 1360-1362 of 2003

Civil Appeal No. 1363 of 2003
(With appln.(s) for directions)

Civil Appeal Nos.1364-1366 of 2003

Civil Appeal Nos. 1367-1373 of 2003
(With office report)

Civil Appeal Nos.1358-1359 of 2003
(With office report)

S.L.P. (C) Nos.22605-22606 of 2003

Date : 14/07/2004 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. SRIKRISHNA

For Petitioner (s)Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh,Adv.
In CA 1357/2003:Mr. Pradeep Misra,Adv.

In CA 1360/2003:Mr. Raju Ramachandran,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Misra,Adv.

In CA 1363/2003:Mr. Ranjit Kumar,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Anurag Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Navin Prakash,Adv.

In CA 1361/2003:Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. R.S. Dvivedi, Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Shekhar,Adv.

..2/-

In CA 1364-65/2003:Mr. Santosh Kumar,Adv.
Mr. Chandra Kanta Nayak,Adv.
Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma,Adv.

In CA 1366/2003:Mr. R.S. Dvivedi,Adv.
Mr. Sudarsh Menon,Adv.

In CA 1367-73/2003:Mr. B.S. Jain,Adv.
Mr. Ajay Veer Singh,Adv.
Ms. Charu Wali Khanna,Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Shahid Hussain,Adv.
Mr. Goodwill Indeevar,Adv.

In CA 1358-59/2003:Mr. G.C. Bharuka,Sr.Adv.
Mr. R.S. Dvivedi,Adv.
Mr. Ajay Choudhary,Adv.

In CA 1362/2003:Mr. R.S. Dvivedi,Adv.
Mr. K.L. Taneja,Adv.

In SLP 22605-06/03:Mr. A.P. Sahay, Adv.
Mr. Kuldip Singh,Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. B.B. Singh,Adv.
State

BiharState Fin.Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava,Sr.Adv.
Ser.House Constn.Mr. Ravi Shankar Prasad,Adv.
Coop. SocietyMr. Sujit Kumar Sinha,Adv.
Mr. Abhay Prakash Sahay,Adv.
Ms. Kiran Bala Sahay,Adv.
Mr. P.R. Ramasesh,Adv.

Mr. S. Chandrasekhar,Adv.

Mr. N.S. Bisht,Adv.

Mr. R.S. Dvivedi,Adv.
Mr. K.L. Taneja,Adv.

Ex-parte

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, learned counsel, resumed his arguments at 11.00 a.m. in Civil Appeal No .1357 of 2003 and concluded at 11.40 a.m. Mr. Raju Ramachandran, learned senior counsel for the appellants in Civil Appeal No.1360 of 2003, thereafter commenced his arguments and concluded at

..3/-

.3.

12.10 p.m. Mr. G.C. Bharuka, learned senior counsel for the appellants in Civil Appeal Nos.1358-1359 of 2003, thereafter commenced his arguments and concluded at 12.40 p.m. Mr. B.S. Jain , learned counsel for the appellants in Civil Appeal Nos.1367-1373 of 2003, thereafter made his submissions for fifteen minutes. Mr. Santosh Kumar, learned counsel for the appellant in Civil Appeal No. 1365 of 2003, thereafter commenced his arguments and concluded at 2.20 p.m. Th

en, Mr. R.S. Dvivedi, learned counsel for the appellant in Civil Appeal No.1362/2003 made his submissions for ten minutes. After that, Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, learned counsel made his submissions till 2.50 p.m. in Civil Appeal No.1361/2003. Thereafter, Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, learned senior counsel for the respondent-Society started his arguments and was on his legs when the court rose for the day.

The matters remained part-heard.

C.A.No.1364/2003:

This appeal is dismissed as not pressed.

Sarita [T.I. Rajput] [Shelly Sengupta]
Court Master Court Master

(Signed order in C.A.No.1364/2003 is placed on the file)

ITEM NO.101 (P.H.) COURT NO.6 SECTION XVII

DATE : 15TH JULY, 2004.

CORAM AND APPEARANCE: AS OF 14TH JULY, 2004 except the name of Mr. P.N. Mishra, Sr.Adv. with Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv. in CA Nos. 1364-65/2003

Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, learned senior counsel for the respondent-Society, resumed his arguments at 11.00 a.m. and concluded at 12.15 a.m. Then, Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Mr. Santosh Kumar and Mr. R.S. Dvivedi, all the learned counsel made their submissions in reply for 15 minutes.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties on either side for quite some time.

..4/-

.4.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the cases, we think it appropriate that the parties should arrive at an amicable settlement. At our suggestion, learned counsel for the parties seek two weeks' time to explore the possibility of settlement.

In this view, these appeals will be listed after three weeks to enable the parties to arrive at a settlement.

Issue fresh notice to the unserved respondents in SLP(C)Nos.22605-22606/2003. Dasti service, in addition, is permitted.

Sarita [T.I. Rajput] [Shelly Sengupta]
Court Master Court Master

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1364 OF 2004

MANGALAM SAHKARI GRIH NIRMAN
SAMITI LTD...APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF BIHAR & ORS...RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

This appeal is dismissed as not pressed.

.....J.
[SHIVARAJ V. PATIL]

.....J.
[B.N. SRIKRISHNA]

New Delhi,
July 14, 2004.