

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).11821/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 11/07/2006 in WP No. 215/2006 of The HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL)

DEVI CHAND

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MADHO RAM TYAGI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T., permission to place addl. documents on record and prayer for interim relief and office report))

Date: 23/11/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shail Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. G.V. Rao, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Though on 25.9.2006 there is specific order to issue fresh notice. Learned counsel for the petitioner is complaining about the non receipt of the office report stating that in absence of office report he could not do anything.

On verifying the record it is found that respondent No. 2 and 4 are yet to be served. Fresh notice to all such unserved respondents by registered A.D. post on payment of process fee and supply of spare copies of the petition.

....2/-

Learned counsel Mr. G.V. Rao appearing for Mr. Shail Kumar Dwivedi, advocate states that petitioner may be directed to serve such respondent by dasti service. Considering the fact that respondent No. 1 is going to retire in the month of March, 2007 and if the matter is not adjudicating before it then respondent No. 1 may have to suffer some loss and the matter will become infructuous. Respondent Nos. 2 and 4 are being Government agencies, petitioner is directed to serve them by dasti service before 15.12.2006 and file proof of dasti service on record before 5.1.2007. List the matter before this court on 5.1.2007 irrespective of the upper limit of listing of the matters.

(S.G.SHAH)

Registrar