òITEM NO.201 COURT NO.2 SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).3462/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 16/05/2006 in SBCRL No. 794/2005
of The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR)

JAGDISH SINGH JODHA & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ANR. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and permission to place addl. documents on
record and PERMISSION TO FILE ADDL. GROUNDS and office report))
(for final disposal)

Date: 23/08/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Petitioner(s)
Mr. K.K. Rai, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tarkeshwar Nath, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Kumar Tuteja, Adv.
Mr. S.M. Diwan, Adv.
Mr. Awnish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal,Adv.

For Respondent(s)
Mr. Ashwani Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Manish Singhvi, AAG.
Mr. Vijay Verma, Adv.
Mr. Irshad Ahmad ,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Out of nine accused, charge-sheet has already been submitted against accused Nos.2 and 3 who are the father-in-law and the mother-in-law of the complainant. Since chargesheet has not

-2-

been filed against the other accused, no order is required to be made as far as they are concerned. However, as far as the petitioner Nos.2 and 3 are concerned, we see no reason to interfere with the order passed by the High Court and, accordingly,

the Special Leave Petition is dismissed as far as they are concerned. As to the petitioner No.1, Jagdish Singh Jodha, the husband of the complainant, we are informed that he is absconding. No further order is also required to be passed as far as he is concerned.

The petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 will be at liberty to make all the submissions made before us, at the time of framing of charges.

(Sheetal Dhingra)
COURT MASTER

(Juginder Kaur)
Assistant Registrar