

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).20195/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 21/05/2004 in CWP No.6733/
2004 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

JOGINDER SINGH & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)
(For final disposal)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 24053 of 2004

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c/ of the impugned
judgment and permission to place addl.documents on record and
with prayer for interim relief and office report)

(For final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 25902 of 2004

(With appln.(s)for permission to file addl. documents and
exemption from filing O.T. And with prayer for interim relief
and office report) (for final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 442 of 2005

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

(For final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 8989 of 2009

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

(For final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 913 of 2005

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

(for final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 1013 of 2005

I.A.NO.1(With appln. For permission to file addl.documents)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

(For final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 1854 of 2005

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

(For final disposal)

SLP(C) NO. 1856 of 2005

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

(For final disposal)

Date: 20/11/2009 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anil Kshetarpal, Adv.
 Mr. Chittaranjan Mishra, Adv.
 Dr. Manohar Singh Bakshi, Adv.
 Mr. Debasis Misra, Adv.

 Mr. Vijay Hansaria, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Aseem Mehrotra, Adv.
 Mr. Abhijat P. Medh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG
 Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.
 Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.
 Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad , Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We find that an order has been made on 30.3.2009 stating that "Learned counsel for the State may keep the records of the employees concerned at the time of hearing". Learned counsel for the State submits that there is no question of any record of any employee. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the order ought to have been with reference to the records of the acquisition. Hence, adjourned to enable the State to secure the records of the acquisition.

List the matter on 11th January, 2010 as requested.

(O.P. Sharma)

3

(M.S. Negi)

Court Master

Court Master