

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal(Civil)No(s).11924-11925/2012

NAWAL KISHOR MISHRA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ALLAHAB&ORS

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 18597-18598 of 2012

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 26015-26016 of 2012

(With office report)

Date: 18/03/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Manish Shanker Srivastava,Adv.
Ms. Minakshi Vij,Adv.

Mr. P.K. Jain,dv.

Mr. Mushtaq Ahmad,Adv.

Mr. Vibhuti Sushaw Gupta,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava,Adv.

Mr. Abhisth Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Verma,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In SLP(C)No.11924/2012 respondent No.1 is appearing through a counsel.

Respondent No.2 is appearing through a counsel in SLP(C)No.18597-98/2012. Respondent No. 3 and 4 are the petitioners in SLP(C)No.18597/2012. Respondent No. 5 has

...2/-

Item No.46 : 2 :

been deleted by the order of the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers dated 6.3.2013. Respondent No. 6 is a petitioner in SLP(C)No.18597/2012. Hence, service is deemed as complete.

In SLP(C)No.11925/2012 respondent No. 1 is represented through a counsel. Respondent No.2 is represented through Mr. Abhishek Kumar, advocate.

In SLP(C)No.18957-98/2012 respondent No.3 is a petitioner in SLP(C)No.18598/2012, hence service is deemed as complete.

In SLP(C)No.18597/2012 respondent No. 1 and 2 are represented through a counsel. Respondent No. 3 is awaited. Respondent No. 4 to 7 are the petitioners in SLP(C)No.11924/2012. Hence, service is deemed as complete against respondent No. 4 to 7. Take steps against respondent No.3 within three weeks as a last chance.

In SLP(C)No.18598/2012 respondent No. 1 and 2 are represented through a counsel. Respondent No. 3 is a petitioner in SLP(C)No.11925/2012. Hence, service is complete.

In SLP(C)No.26015-16/2012 respondent No. 1 is represented through a counsel and respondent No.2, the State is represented through Mr. Abhishek Kumar, advocate in SLP(C)No.18597/2012. Respondent No. 3, 4 and 8 are the petitioners in SLP(C)No.11924/2012. Respondent No. 5 is still not served. Ld. counsel for the petitioner is directed to take fresh steps against unserved respondent No. 5 within three weeks as a last chance.

In SLP(C)No.26016/2012 respondent No. 1 is appearing through a counsel and respondent No.2 is State and is represented through Mr. Abhisht Kumar, advocate. In SLP(C)No.18597/2012 respondent No.3 is a petitioner in SLP(C)No. 11952/2012 and respondent No.4 is a petitioner in SLP(C)No.18598/2012.

...3/-

Item No.46 : 3 :

In all the above cases, counsel for the State offers to file counter affidavit. Counsel for the respective petitioner is directed to supply copy of the pleadings within two weeks as last chance to the counsel for the State, if not served before and file proof. The State may file counter affidavit, if any, within four weeks thereafter.

List all the matters on 29.4.2013.

|
|s

|

|(SUNIL THOMAS)
|Registrar

|