

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 1349-1350 OF 2012
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITIONS(C)NO(s). 33538-33539 OF 2011

DEFENCE ESTATES OFFICER
KARNATAKA & GOA CIRCLE, BANGALORE
VERSUS
Petitioner(s)

SHANKAR UMA NAIK & ORS.ETC.
Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petitions)

WITH
REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 1366 OF 2012
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITIONS(C)NO(s). 33541 OF 2011
(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

Date: 23/08/2012 These Petitions were circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Review Petitions are dismissed on the ground of
delay as also on merits in terms of the signed order.

(KUSUM SYAL)
SR.P.A

(PHOOLAN WATI ARORA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 1349-1350 OF 2012
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITIONS(C)NO(s). 33538-33539 OF 2011

DEFENCE ESTATES OFFICER
KARNATAKA & GOA CIRCLE, BANGALORE
VERSUS
Petitioner(s)

SHANKAR UMA NAIK & ORS.ETC.
WITH
REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 1366 OF 2012
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITIONS(C)NO(s). 33541 OF 2011
Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. These petitions have been filed for review of order dated 21.11.2011 passed in S.L.P @ Nos. 33538-33539 of 2011 and connected case.
2. There is an inordinate delay of 152 days in filing the Review Petitions. We are not satisfied by the explanation offered for the same. The Review Petitions are, therefore, liable to be dismissed on the ground of delay itself.
3. Even on merits, we are convinced that the order of which review has been sought does not suffer from any error apparent warranting its reconsideration. Hence, the Review Petitions are dismissed on the ground of delay as also on merits.

.....J.
(G.S. SINGHVI)

.....J.
(SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA)

NEW DELHI;
AUGUST 23, 2012.