

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).16606/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 18/04/2011 in WP No. 12528/2010 of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD, BENCH AT LUCKNOW)

NIGHAT ZAFAR ALI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.& ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 18/07/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.
Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sakshi Kakkar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Baban Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Chandan Ramamurthi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The petitioner challenges the order of the High Court dismissing the writ petition reserving liberty to seek her remedy elsewhere in the appropriate forum. The order states - "The petitioner is at liberty to seek her remedy elsewhere in the appropriate forum, may be by approaching the Tribunal under Section 83 of the Act."

We find no reason to interfere under Article 136 of the Constitution of India.

.....2.

- 2 -

The petitioner's counsel voices an apprehension that this would mean directing her to approach only the Wakf Tribunal. We find that there is no basis for such an apprehension. The High Court has stated that the petitioner can seek remedy in an appropriate forum and merely indicated Tribunal as one of the forums that is available to the petitioner.

Special leave petition is, therefore, dismissed.

(Ravi P. Verma)
Court Master

(M.S. Negi)
Court Master