

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL No.234 OF 2013

KIRAN KUMAR

... APPELLANT

Versus

THE STATE OF DELHI

... RESPONDENT

O R D E R

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submits, on instructions, that the instant appeal has become infructuous since the appellant has been released upon remission of sentence.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the appellant, the appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous.

.....J.
(INDIRA BANERJEE)

.....J.
(AJAY RASTOGI)

NEW DELHI;
JUNE 06, 2019.

ITEM NO.111

COURT NO.4

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s).234/2013

KIRAN KUMAR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF DELHI

Respondent(s)

Date : 06-06-2019 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDIRA BANERJEE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY RASTOGI
(VACATION BENCH)

For Appellant(s) Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr.R.Balasubramanian, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Rajan Kumar Chourasia, Adv.
Mr.B.V.Balaram Das, AOR
Ms.Rashmi Malhotra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous in
terms of the signed order.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
AR-CUM-PS

(ANITA RANI AHUJA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed order is placed on the file)