

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8774 OF 2012, S.L.P. © NOS. 2940, 2943, 2945 & 2950
OF 2014 AND 25468 & 25462 OF 2015**

State of A. P. TR. State Rep. Etc. etc.

...Appellants

-VERSUS-

M/S. Raichoti Viswanatham S. Setty, Etc. etc.

...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that Office Report of matters above-mentioned were listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court on 26th September, 2016, when the following order was passed:-

“C.A. No.8774/2012

Ld.counsel for the appellant shall file the deficit court fee within four weeks as last chance whereafter no further extension shall be given. List again on 08.11.2016.

SLP(C) Nos.33099, 33102/2012 & 5244/2013

The office report is that these matters have already been directed to be listed before the Hon'ble Court. Hence, no further order is required to be passed in them.

SLP(C) No. 39487/2013

The respondent No.1 has failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. The respondent Nos.2 & 3 shall be at liberty to file the counter affidavit within a period of four weeks. List the matter before the Hon'ble Court as per rules after expiry of four weeks.

SLP(C) No. 39497/2013

Four weeks time as last chance is given to the respondent Nos.1 & 2 to file the counter affidavit. Service of notice is complete on the respondent No.3, but no one has entered appearance on his behalf. List the matter before the Hon'ble Court as per rules after expiry of four weeks.

SLP(C) No. 2939,2949/2014

The served respondents have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. Service of notice is complete on the respondent Nos.2 & 3, but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

SLP(C) No. 26814/2015

The sole respondent has failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

SLP(C) Nos.2940, 2943 & 2945/2014

The served respondents have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules.

Service of notice is complete on the respondent No.3, but no one has entered appearance on his behalf.

Ld.counsel for the petitioner is directed to take fresh steps in respect of the respondent No.2 within four weeks.

SLP(C) No. 2950/2014

The served respondents have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules.

Ld.counsel for the petitioner is directed to take fresh steps in respect of the respondent No.1 within four weeks.

SLP(C) No. 25468/2015

The respondent No.1 has failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules.

Service of notice is complete on the respondent No.3, but no one has entered appearance on his behalf.

Four weeks time as last chance is given to the Ld.counsel for the petitioner to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the unserved respondent No.2.

SLP(C) No. 25462/2015

The respondent No.1 has failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules.

Four weeks time as last chance is given to the Ld.counsel for the petitioner to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the unserved respondent No.2 and also to file an I.A. for amendment of cause title along with certificate of incorporation as the name of respondent No.1 has been changed.

List the matters again on 08.11.2016.”

C.A. No. 8774/2012:-

It is submitted that counsel for the appellant has not filed deficit court fee so far.

S.L.P. © NOS. 2940, 2943 & 2945 OF 2014

It is submitted that counsel for the petitioner has not taken any fresh steps for service of notice in respect of respondent No. 2 in all the matters so far.

S.L.P. © NO. 2950 OF 2014

It is submitted that counsel for the petitioner has not taken any fresh steps for service of notice in respect of respondent No. 1 so far.

S.L.P. © NO. 25468 OF 2015

It is submitted that no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on behalf of respondent No. 3 though served with notice.

It is further submitted that counsel for the petitioner has not taken any fresh step to serve notice to the unserved respondent No. 2 so far.

S.L.P. © NO. 25462 OF 2015

It is submitted that counsel for the petitioner has neither taken fresh steps to serve notice to the unserved respondent No. 2 nor filed an application for amendment of cause title alongwith certificate of Incorporation as the name of respondent No. 1 has been changed.

The office report is listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court for orders.

Dated this the 7th day of October, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Advocate
2. Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Senior Advocate
3. Mr. G.N. Reddy, Advocate
1, Ashoka Road, New Delhi – 1.
4. Mr. Praveen Kumar, Advocate
5. Mr. B. K. Prasad, Advocate
6. M/s. Suresh A. Shroff & Co., Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

c3