

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH

Civil Appeal No(s). 8774/2012

STATE OF A.P.TR.STATE REP.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S RAICHOTI VISWANATHAM S. SETTY

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 2940/2014
Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 2943/2014
Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 2945/2014
Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 2950/2014
Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 25462/2015
Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 25468/2015
Office Report)

Date : 08/11/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. G. N. Reddy,Adv.

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.

M/s. Venkat Palwai Law Associates,Adv.

Mr. S. Uday Kumar Sagar,Adv.

Mr. Baskula Athik,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Ashwini Chandrasekaran,Adv.

Mr. Praveen Kumar,Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Singhania,Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

Mr. S. S. Shroff,Adv.

Mr. Ambarish Pandey,Adv.
Mr. Punit Dutt Tyagi,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

C.A. No. 8774/2012

Learned counsel for the appellant has failed to file deficit Court fee spite of last chance granted. However, I am inclined to grant two more weeks time subject to the condition that he files deficit court fee and also deposits a sum of Rs. 1000/- in the Supreme Court Employees Mutual Welfare Fund as cost. If the above conditions are not satisfied, list the matter before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution. If deficit court fee is filed and cost is deposited, Registry to process the matter, as per rules.

SLP(C) No. 25462/2015

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has failed to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the respondent No.2 and also failed to file an I.A. for amendment of cause title alongwith certificate of incorporation as the name of respondent No.1 is changed despite opportunities. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further future directions. Await orders. List thereafter.

SLP(C) No.25468/2015

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has failed to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the respondent No.2 despite opportunities. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further future directions. Await orders. List thereafter.

SLP(C) Nos. 2940, 2943, 2945 and 2950/2014

Four weeks time, as last chance is given to the learned counsel for the petitioners to comply with the terms of the order dated 26.9.2016 of this Court in respect of unserved respondents.

List again on 7.12.2016.

(M V RAMESH)
Registrar

MG