

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH

Civil Appeal No(s). 8774/2012

STATE OF A.P.TR.STATE REP.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S RAICHOTI VISWANATHAM S. SETTY
(with office report)

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 2940/2014
Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 2943/2014
Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 2945/2014
Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 2950/2014
Office Report)

Date : 07/12/2016 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar,Adv.

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.

Mr. S. Uday Kumar Sagar,Adv.

Mr. Baskula Athik,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Ashwini Chandrasekaran,Adv.

Mr. Praveen Kumar,Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Singhania,Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) Nos. 2940,2943 and 2945/2014

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners has failed to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the Respondent No.2 despite opportunities. Viewed in that context, the matters shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further directions. Await orders. List thereafter.

SLP(C) No. 2950/2014

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners has failed to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the Respondent No.1 despite opportunities. Viewed in that context, the matters shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further directions. Await orders. List thereafter.

C.A. No. 8774/2012

Ld. Counsel for the appellant has already filed the deficit court fee on 7.11.2016 and it could not be brought into notice on 8.11.2016 by the appellant, hence cost of Rs. 1,000/- was imposed. Since appellant has already deposited the deficit court fee, hence cost of Rs. 1000/- is exempted.

Service of notice is complete on the sole respondent but no one has entered appearance on his behalf.

Ld. Counsel for the appellant has failed to file the statement of case within the statutory period. Filing of Statement of Case is not mandatory as per amended Supreme Court Rules, 2013. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court on its own turn.

(M V RAMESH)
Registrar