

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).11266/2007  
(From the judgement and order dated 24/04/2007 in WPC  
No.5830/2003 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

CONFEDERN.OF DELHI INDUS.& CETP STY.&ORS Petitioner(s)  
VERSUS  
UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent(s)  
(With appln(s) for directions and prayer for interim relief and  
office report)  
(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

Date: 31/01/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya,Adv.  
Mr. LK Paonam,Adv.  
Mr. Niraj Bobby Paonam,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. PP Malhotra,Sr.Adv.  
Ms. Rachana Srivastava,Adv.  
Ms. Ranchi Daga,Adv.

Mrs Anil Katiyar ,Adv

Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora,Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Kumar,Adv.  
Mr. S Mitter,Adv. for  
M/S Mitter & Mitter Co. ,Adv

Mr. Vishnu B Saharya,Adv.  
M/S Saharya & Co. ,Adv

Mr. S.P. Sharma ,Adv  
Mr. Shivika Jain,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(N.K. Goel) (Sharda Kapoor)  
Court Master Court Master  
(signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s)..... OF 2012  
(Arising out of S.L.P.(C) No.11266 of 2007)

Confederation of Delhi Industries  
and CETP Societies and Ors. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

Union of India and Ors. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

This appeal is directed against the Judgment and Order passed by the High Court of Delhi in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 5830 of 2003 dated 24.04.2007.

By the impugned Judgment and Order, the High Court has dismissed the writ petition and has also made certain observations.

We have carefully heard Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya, learned counsel appearing for the appellants and perused the record. In our considered view, the High Court has not committed any error, which would warrant our interference in exercise of our jurisdiction under Article 136 of the Constitution. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal.

1

Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya, learned counsel appearing for the appellants, requests us to delete para 16 of the impugned Judgment and Order and also costs of Rs. 2,00,000/- imposed upon the appellants in para 18 of the Judgment. In our view, the request of the learned counsel appears to be reasonable and if it is granted, it would not prejudice the contesting respondents in any manner whatsoever. Accordingly, we delete para-16 of the impugned judgment and also costs imposed on the appellant.

Ordered accordingly.

.....J.  
(H.L. DATTU)

.....J.  
(ANIL R. DAVE)

NEW DELHI  
JANUARY 31, 2012.