



**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). _____ OF 2026
(arising out of SLP (Crl.) No(s).5205 of
2026)**

**SANGEETA KADWASARA
ALIAS BHOOMI**

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). _____ OF 2026
(arising out of SLP (Crl.) No(s).6088 of
2026)**

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appellants challenge the order dated 09.03.2026 in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application Nos.488/2026 & 15347/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jaipur.

3. The appellants were arrested in connection with Crime No.13 of 2024 registered at Special Police Station (SOG), ATS and SOG, Rajasthan.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, and perused the material placed on record, we are of the considered view that the appellants have made out case for interference with the impugned order dated 09.03.2026.

5. Considering the totality of circumstances and also the fact that the appellant-Sangeeta Kadwasara & appellant-Ajay Bhardwaj have been in custody since 22.08.2025 & 07.03.2025 respectively, we are inclined to grant bail to the appellants on such terms as may be fixed by the Trial Court. Ordered accordingly.

6. To comply with the order, the appellants shall be produced before the Trial Court forthwith.

7. Trial expedited. The appellant shall fully cooperate during the investigation/trial, and they shall not misuse the liberty in any manner, and shall extend complete cooperation in the trial of the instant case. It shall be open to the Trial Court to take all steps, including cancellation of bail, should the necessity arise.

8. Needless to add, if the investigation is not complete in all respects, the appellants would fully cooperate, and if the challan/charge sheet is filed, they would maintain good conduct and not attempt to influence any of the witnesses

in any manner till the completion of the trial.

9. If the appellants possess the passport, the same shall be surrendered before the Competent Authority/Court until the conclusion of the trial.

10. The impugned orders dated 09.03.2026 shall stand set aside.

11. Accordingly, the appeals are allowed.

12. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)

NEW DELHI
24-04-2026

ITEM NO.14 COURT NO.11 SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s).5205/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09-03-2026 in SBCRMBA No.488/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jaipur]

SANGEETA KADWASARA ALIAS BHOOMI PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN RESPONDENT(S)

IA No. 89505/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 89503/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

WITH

SLP(Crl) No.6088/2026 (II-D)

IA No. 104104/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF
THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT
IA No. 104106/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 24-04-2026 These matters were called on for
hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASHI

For Petitioner(s) :
Mr. Sanchar Anand, Adv.
Mr. Nishant Bishnoi, AOR

Crl.A.@ S.L.P. (Crl.) No.5205/2026+

5

Mr. Suresh Khileri, Adv.
Ms. Srishti Prabhakar, Adv.
Mr. Raghav Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Dhananjay Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Utsav Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Krishna Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Kaushik, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Azmat Hayat Amanullah, AOR
Mr. Vedant Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ashish Kumar Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Harshvardhan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Ms. Aneesha Rastogi, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, Adv.
Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, AOR

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made
the following
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appeals are allowed in terms of the signed order, which is placed on the file.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(D. NAVEEN) (ANU BHALLA)
COURT MASTER (SH) COURT MASTER (NSH)