

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).1 6 8 2 5 / 2 0 0 7

(From the judgement and order dated 2 2 / 0 3 / 2 0 0 7 in W P No. 2 3 6 4 / 2 0 0 6 of The  
HIGH C O U R T O F B O M B A Y )

M/S P A R A G C O N S T R U C T I O N

Petitioner(s)

VER SUS

S T A T E O F M A H A R A S H T R A & O R S .

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for vacating stay, per mi s s i o n to file additional documents and prayer  
for interim relief)  
(for final disposal)

Date: 1 5 / 0 7 / 2 0 0 8 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

C O R A M :

H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E R . V . R A V E E N D R A N

H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E L O K E S H W A R S I N G H P A N T A

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shya m Divan, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Meena k s hi Grover, Adv.  
Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.  
Mr. Manu Aggarwal, Adv.  
Ms. Simr a n Bra r, Adv.  
Mr. Vashdev T. Lulia, Adv.  
Mrs Manik K ar a n j a w a l a , Adv.

For Respondent(s)  
for r.3

Mr. Shekh a r Naphade, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sunil Ku m a r Verm a, Adv.  
  
Mr. Sanjay Kh a rde, Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Avhad, Adv.  
Ms. Chandan Ra m a m u r thi , Adv

for R.6

Mr. P a ll av Shishodia, Adv.  
Mr. Atul Y. Chitale, Adv.  
Mrs. Suchitra Atul Chitale , Adv  
Ms. Shweta Mazumda r, Adv.

for Mahar a s h t r a

Ms. Aparajita Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair , Adv

Mr. Arun Pednek a r, Adv.  
Mr. Naresh Ku m a r , Adv

: 2 :

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).1 6 8 2 5 / 2 0 0 7

The matter relates to plot No.2 2 B . The sixth respondent  
(Municipal Corporation of Great Mumbai) and the petitioner( P a r a g

Construction) are the rival claimants claiming ownership. The said plot is covered by a slum and has been so declared. It is stated that more than 70% of slum dwellers have opted for development of the land by the fourth respondent. The sixth respondent (Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai) has agreed for the plot being developed. It is to get some constructed area for health centre under the development.

The parties propose to explore the possibility of a negotiated settlement and seek three weeks time. Hence, this matter is adjourned by three weeks.

(PAWAN KUMAR)  
COURT MASTER

(ANAND SINGH)  
COURT MASTER