

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1747 OF 2007

P.D. Paulose Appellant

Versus

Anto Respondent

O R D E R

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the impugned judgment, we are of the opinion that there is no infirmity in the impugned judgment and hence it does not call for our interference. We order accordingly.

Having no merit, the appeal is dismissed. No costs.

.....J.
(Markandey Katju)

.....J.
(Gyan Sudha Misra)

New Delhi
February 11, 2011
ITEM NO.110

COURT NO.6

SECTION XIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 1747 OF 2007

P.D. PAULOSE Appellant (s)

VERSUS

ANTO Respondent(s)

Date: 11/02/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Appellant(s) Mr. S. Gopakumaran Nair, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. T.G. Narayanan Nair, Adv.
 Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. K. Rajeev, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed. No costs.

(Inder Pal Singh)
AR-cum-PS

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

The signed order is placed on the file.