

SU P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

W R I T P E T I T I O N (C I V I L) N O (s) . 2 5 8 O F 2 0 0 9

P R A S H A N T S A D A S H I V P A T I L

P e t i t i o n e r (s)

V E R S U S

U N I O N O F I N D I A & O R S .

R e s p o n d e n t (s)

(With appln. for directions)

Date: 18/06/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

C O R A M :

H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E B . S U D E R S H A N R E D D Y

H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E A F T A B A L A M

(V A C A T I O N B E N C H)

F o r P e t i t i o n e r (s)

Mr. Prasenjit Keswani, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, Adv.

F o r R e s p o n d e n t (s)

Mr. Ashok Bhan, Adv.

N o . 1 :

Mrs. Rekha Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Raj Kumar Tanwar, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

U P O N h e a r i n g c o u n s e l t h e C o u r t m a d e t h e f o l l o w i n g
O R D E R

Having regard to the nature of controversy, we consider it appropriate to direct impleadment of the Medical Council of India represented by its Secretary as respondent No.4 in this petition.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. Ashok Bhan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of

respondent No.1.

1

Issue notice, returnable in four weeks.

Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

In the meanwhile, respondent No.2 is directed to continue the petitioner to prosecute the studies in M.S. (General Surgery) at IPGMER College, Kolkata, subject to further orders to be passed in this petition.

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

Note: OUT TODAY