

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).26012/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 07/12/2009 in WP No.3971/2007 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

RANJIT SINGH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)
(For final disposal)

Date: 06/08/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. R.P. Bhat, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Sukhbeer Kaur Bajwa, Adv.
Mr. A. Ramesh, Adv.
Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The petitioners claim pensionary benefits on the ground that the persons similarly situated had been granted pension.

However, we have heard counsel for the parties at great length and it is clear that the petitioners cannot be allowed to be governed by the Circular dated 27.12.1995 wherein only one category of persons were allowed pensionary benefits i.e. those who retired prior to 1995 and not reinducted into the service. It further lays down that anyone who is reinducted would not be eligible for pensionary benefits. The petitioners although were not reinducted, he falls in neither of the categories as he is neither a retired employee nor reinducted as he had resigned from his job way back in the year 1977 much earlier when these two categories of persons were dealt with by the respondents.

Under the circumstances, the petitioners have no case; the special leave petition is devoid of any merit and is accordingly dismissed.

(NAVEEN KUMAR)
COURT MASTER

(S.S.R. KRISHNA)
COURT MASTER