



ITEM NO.54

COURT NO.13

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)  
No(s).5307/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated  
20-03-2026 in BA No.3795/2024 passed by the High Court of  
Delhi at New Delhi]

GULAM NABI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 91053/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE  
IMPUGNED JUDGMENT  
IA No. 91054/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 24-03-2026 This matter was called for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Danish Saifi, Adv.  
Mr. Veshal Tyagi, Adv.  
Ms. Abida, Adv.  
Mr. S. Subramaniam, Adv.  
Ms. Ananya Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Mr. Rashid N. Azam, AOR

For Respondent(s) Ms. Arpita Anna Mathew, Adv.  
(For complainant) Mr. Shafik Ahmed, Adv.

Mr. Naman Dwivedi, Adv.  
Mr. V. Elanchezhiyan, AOR

**O R D E R**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection with FIR No.0468 of 2024 dated 18.09.2024, registered at P.S. Khajuri Khas, District North East, Delhi, for the offences punishable under Sections 117(2)/79/351(B)/64(1)/74/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. At this stage, Ms. Arpita Anna Mathew, learned counsel, submits that she is appearing *suo-motu* on behalf of the complainant/prosecutrix even though not being made a party respondent. She submits that the parties have compromised the matter and now, the Court may allow the prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail. She further submits that the prosecutrix has also joined the Court proceedings through virtual mode.

4. Having considered the matter and taking a holistic view in the matter, which basically is a family dispute arising

out of a matrimonial issue, where the prosecutrix is the wife of the elder brother of the petitioner and now, she has agreed for a settlement on a term that she would be allowed to resume her matrimonial home without any disturbance and will be accepted by the family and further that no other family member shall interfere in the matrimonial affairs of the prosecutrix and her husband, we are inclined to allow the prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail.

5. Accordingly, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within three weeks from today, the petitioner shall be enlarged on bail, subject to such terms and conditions, as may be imposed by the Trial Court.

6. Before parting, we note that despite advance notice being served, nobody was present on behalf of the respondent-State (Govt. of NCT of Delhi) when the matter was taken up. The situation is unfortunate.

7. A copy of this order be communicated to the respondent-State (Govt. of NCT of Delhi) through the Law Secretary-cum-Legal Remembrancer of the Government of NCT of Delhi, who shall ensure that there is proper appearance on behalf of the

Government of NCT of Delhi in all matters before the Courts.

8. The Special Leave Petition stands disposed of in the  
aforementioned terms.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand  
disposed of.

(SAPNA BISHT)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJALI PANWAR)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR