

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 11048-11049 OF 2016
WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1
(Application for condonation of delay in filing SLP)

Prasannatma Das

...Petitioner

-Versus-

Shankha Brita Das & Ors.

...Respondents

REVISED OFFICE REPORT ON DEFAULT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 15.04.2016, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:

**“Issue notice.
Dasti, in addition”**

Accordingly, show cause notice was issued on 06.05.2016 by Regd. A.D. post and dasti in addition.

It is submitted that there are total 21 respondents in the instant matters. Mr. Aroov Kurup, Advocate has filed vakalatanama/memo of appearance on behalf of Respondent nos. 1 and 8. Mr. Vikas Singh Jangra, Advocate has filed vakalatanama/memo of appearance on behalf of respondent Nos. 9-12. Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, Advocate has filed vakalatanama/memo of appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 17 & 20, but none of them have filed counter affidavit on their behalf so far. A.D. cards duly signed with the notice have been received from respondent Nos. 13-15 & 18, but no appearance on their behalf is filed so far.

The matter above mentioned were listed before the Registrar Court from time to time and when lastly listed on 19.10.2016, the following order was passed:

**“Four weeks time, as last chance is given to the respondent
Nos. 1 and 8 to file the counter affidavit.**

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has failed to file the fresh particulars and to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the respondent Nos. 3, 4 and 19 and also failed to file dasti proof in respect of other unserved respondents despite opportunities. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further directions. Await orders. List thereafter. "

It is submitted that counsel for the petitioner has now on 13.12.2016 filed affidavit with proof of dasti service of notice in respect of respondent Nos. 9-10, 12 and 18 and stated therein that respondent Nos. 5-7 have refused to accept dasti notice.

It may be mentioned here that the counsel for the petitioner was required to file fresh particulars with spare copies of SLP for effecting notice on the unserved Respondent Nos. 3, 4 and 19 and was also to file affidavit with proof of dasti service in respect of Respondent Nos. 2, 16 and 21 but the counsel has not done same so far.

The revised office report on default in the matters above mentioned is, therefore, listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers.

Dated this the 12th day of January, 2017.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:
Mr. Dinkar Kalra, Advocate
Mr. Vikas Singh Jangra, Advocate
Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, Advocate
Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

PC2