

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 11147/2011

KAUTILYA SHIKSHA SAMITI & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for directions and impleadment and exemption from filing O.T. and impleadment and directions)

WITH

C.A. No. 5185/2011

Date : 30/07/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Jasbir Singh Malik, Adv.
Mr. Nayan Nepal, Adv.

Mr. B. K. Satija, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Mushtaq Ahmad, Adv.
Mr. Chand Qureshi, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Kumar Juneja, Adv.Mr. Sunny Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Mishra, Adv.Mr. Varun Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Shankar Divate, Adv.

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E RThese appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

...2/-

As a sequel to disposal of the appeals, all pending interlocutory applications shall stand disposed of.

[INDU POKHRIYAL]
COURT MASTER

[SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR]
A.R.-CUM-P.S.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.11147 OF 2011

KAUTILYA SHIKSHA SAMITI AND OTHERS

APPELLANT(S)

Versus

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS

RESPONDENT(S)

W I T H

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5185 OF 2011

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION PHYSICAL EDUCATION
AND MANAGEMENT STUDY, VIDYA NIKETAN SAMITI
BHOPAL

APPELLANT(S)

Versus

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel appearing for both the parties.

Learned counsel for the appellants submitted that the matters are similar to Sidhi Vinayak Education Society versus State of Madhya Pradesh and Others - Civil Appeal No. 5672 of 2010 already decided on 19.7.2010 and connected cases have already been disposed of.

Further, taking note of the subsequent events that the result of the students had been declared and the students have already passed out,

nothing more survives in these civil appeals. The same are, accordingly, disposed of.

As a sequel to disposal of the appeals, all pending interlocutory applications shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(M.Y. EQBAL)

.....J.
(ARUN MISHRA)

New Delhi,
July 30, 2015