

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No).4627/2009

M/S JAIN RUBBER(P) LTD.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

COMMR.OF CEN.EXC.,CHENNAI-II  
(with office report)

Respondent(s)

Date : 12/02/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Appellant(s)

Mr. V. Lakshmikumarn, Adv.  
Ms. L. Charmaya, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Bhattacharya, Adv.  
Mr. Anandh K., Adv.  
Mr. Hemant Bajaj, Adv.  
Mr. M. P. Devanath, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. N.K. Kaul, ASG  
Mr. Shankar Divate, Adv.  
Mr. SWA Qadri, Adv.  
Mr. Zaid Ali, Adv.  
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(USHA BHARDWAJ)  
AR-CUM-PS(VEENA KHERA)  
COURT MASTER

Signed order is placed on the file.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by  
Suman Wadhwa  
Date: 2016.02.19  
17:05:15 IST  
Reason:IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CIVIL APPEAL No. 4627 OF 2009

M/s Jain Rubber (P) Ltd.

.. Appellant(s)

Versus

Commissioner of Central Excise,  
Chennai II

.. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard counsel for the parties.  
this appeal is just about Rs.78,000/-.  
not see any reason to entertain this appeal. The  
dismissed on that ground alone. The  
raised are left open.

The tax effect in  
We, therefore, do  
appeal is  
questions of law

The show cause notices, if any, issued for the  
subsequent Assessment Years may be decided by the  
competent authority on their own merits and in accordance  
with law.

.....CJI.  
[T.S. THAKUR ]

.....J.  
[M.Y. EQBAL ]

.....J.  
[R. BANUMATHI ]

NEW DELHI,  
FEBRUARY 12, 2016.