

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 610 OF 2013 IN SLP(CRL) 4026/2006

HARBANS LAL BAJAJ Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BISHAMBAR DAYAL & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition and office report)

WITH
REVIEW PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 611 OF 2013 IN SLP(CRL) NO. 4228/2006
(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition and office report)

Date: 12/09/2013 These Petitions were circulated today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.
The review petitions are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

| (VINOD LAKHINA) | | (INDU BALA KAPUR) |
| COURT MASTER | | COURT MASTER |

(SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO.610 OF 2013

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO.4026 OF 2006

HARBANS LAL BAJAJ ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

BISHAMBAR DAYAL & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

WITH
REVIEW PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO.611 OF 2013
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO.4228 OF 2006
[HARBANS LAL BAJAJ VS. STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.]

ORDER

These Review Petitions have been filed against order, dated 2nd April, 2013, whereby the Special Leave Petitions were disposed of.

Delay condoned.

We have perused the review petitions and record of the Special Leave Petitions and are convinced that the order of which review has been sought does not suffer from any error apparent warranting its reconsideration. Hence, the Review Petitions are dismissed.

.....,J.
(SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR)

.....,J.
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

NEW DELHI
SEPTEMBER 12, 2013