

¶

Crl.A.No. 675 OF 2002

ITEM No.101

Court No. 8

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No.675/2002

STATE OF TAMIL NADU

APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

H. FATHIMA & ANR.  
(With office report)

RESPONDENT (S)

Date : 04/12/2003 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. SRIKRISHNA

For Appellant (s)Mr. R. Venkataramani, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. P.N. Ramalingam, Adv.  
Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, Adv.

For Respondent (s)  
Mr. K.K. Mani, Adv.  
Ms. Manika Pandey, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties for 5 minutes.  
The appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous in terms of the signed order.

Sarita (Shelly Sengupta)  
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 675 OF 2002

STATE OF TAMIL NADU

APPELLANT

VERSUS

H. FATHIMA & ANR.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.  
As the period of detention is over, this appeal has become infructuous and the same is accordingly dismissed, leaving the question of law open to be decided in an appropriate case.

.....J.  
(B.N. AGRAWAL)

New Delhi, (B.N. SRIKRISHNA) .....J.  
December 4, 2003.