

n
SLP(Crl.)No. 4111 OF 2000

ITEM No.102

Court No. 1

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Special Leave Petition (Crl.) No. 4111/2000

Iqbal Singh Marwah & Anr.

...Petitioner(s)

vs.

Meenakshi Marwah & Anr.

...Respondent(s)

(with appln.(s) for ex-parte stay)

with

Crl.A. No. 904/1998 and
Crl.A. Nos. 1069-1070/1998

Date: 22/07/2004 This/These matter(s) was/were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER

For Petitioner (s)/
Appellant(s)Dr. A M Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Joy Basu, Adv.
Mr. Madhurender Kumar, Adv.
Mr. B K Satija, Adv.

Mr. V Balachandran, Adv.

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. Y P Narula, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhijeet Chatterjee, Adv.
Mr. Subodh Kr. Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli, Adv.

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

Mr. V J Francis, Adv.

Mr. Shantha Kumar Mahale, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Mahale, Adv.

Mr. E M S Anam, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It is pointed out at the Bar that the order of reference dated 8.2.2001 made by the 3-Judge Bench, in fact, seeks to resolve conflict between Surjit Singh and Others vs. Balbir Singh, 1996 (3) SCC 533 and Sachida Nand Singh and Another vs. State of Bihar and Another, 1998 (2) SCC 493, both 3-Judge Bench decisions, and the later decision not taking note of the earlier decision.

This is a group of matters raising common/connected questions of law for hearing by Constitution Bench. The parties are represented by a number of different counsel.

To expedite the hearing and decision, the following directions are made :-

(1) All the counsel for parties having common interest should hold a conference and prepare a common synopsis of submissions stating

- (a) brief relevant facts;
- (b) points arising for decision;
- (c) pleas sought to be urged; and
- (d) list of authorities relied on (in support of each of the pleas).

(Reference to specific paragraphs be mentioned)

Such synopsis shall be filed within three weeks under copy to each of the counsel opposite.

2. We request the learned counsel for each set of parties to decide as amongst themselves who will lead in making submissions. In the event of more than one submissions, the same may be divided between different counsel. In any case, repetition of submissions has to be avoided.

3. At the end of hearing the Court may permit filing of detailed note of submissions, if necessary.

(D.P. WALIA)
COURT MASTER

(RADHA R. BHATIA)
COURT MASTER