

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. OF 2013
(Arising out of C.A. No.D17456 of 2012)

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.APPELLANT(S)	
VERSUS		
SUJAN SINGHRESPONDENT(S)	

O R D E R

There is a delay of 325 days in filing and 501 days in refiling the appeal. The explanation offered for the delay is not satisfactory. Besides this, we also do not find any infirmity in the order of the Tribunal on merit. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed both on the ground of delay as also on merit.

However, the question of law is left open to be considered in an appropriate case if the same is sustainable.

.....J.
(GYAN SUDHA MISRA)

.....J.
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

NEW DELHI,
NOVEMBER 18, 2013.

ITEM NO.19 COURT NO.12 SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL D.NO(s). 17456 OF 2012

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.	Appellant (s)
VERSUS	
SUJAN SINGH	Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for and office report)

Date: 18/11/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. K. Radhakrishnan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Chetan Chawla, Adv.
Mr. S. Nagarajan, Adv.
For Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

There is a delay of 325 days in filing and 501 days in refiling the appeal. The explanation offered for the delay is not satisfactory. Besides this, we also do not find any infirmity in the order of the Tribunal on merit. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed both on the ground of delay as also on merit.

However, the question of law is left open to be considered in an appropriate case if the same is sustainable.

|(Neetu Khajuria)

|(S.S.R. Krishna)

|
|Sr.P.A.

|Court Master

|

(Signed order is placed on the file.)