

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No.2-4 in
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3390 OF 2007

O. S. VENKATARAMAN

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

R.V.M.K. PRASAD Respondent(s)
(for impleadment and exemption from filing O.T. and direction)
I.A.No.5 (Application for taking on record additional documents)

Date: 05/05/2008 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA

Mr.Vishwanatha Iyer,Sr.Adv.

For Appellant(s) Mr. John Mathew,Adv.

for impleadment Mr. H.L. Agarwal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P.V.Yogeswaran, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad,Adv.
Mr. Suyodhan Byrapaneni, Adv.
Mr.Siddharth Patnaik, Adv.
Mr.G.Arun, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

I.A.No.2 & 3

Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave of the Court to withdraw application for impleadment. Application for impleadment is dismissed as withdrawn accordingly. Consequently, I.A.No.3 is rejected.

I.A.No.4

I.A.No.4 is filed by the appellant praying that this appeal(Civil Appeal No.3390/2007) be disposed of in terms of the compromise petition filed by the parties before the Principal District Judge,chengalpattu, Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu in O.S.No.7/2006. As no compromise petition is filed in this appeal, it

-1-

is not possible to dispose of this appeal in terms of the compromise filed in some other case.I.A.No.4 is, therefore,rejected.

I.A.No.5

This application is filed by the appellant for producing certain additional documents. I.A.No.5 is allowed and the appellant is permitted to produce the said additional documents.

C.A.No.3390/2007

The appeal is disposed of as having become infructuous in terms of the signed order.

(Meenu Sethi)
A.R.cum-P.S.

(Pushap Lata Bhardwaj)
Court Master

