

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Miscellaneous Application No. 1251/2026 in SLP(Crl) No. 7101/2024

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 13-05-2024 in SLP(Crl) No. No. 7101/2024 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

M/S SKS ISPAT AND POWER LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BABA BETI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 129183/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

IA No. 91140/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT

WITH

MA 1252/2026 in SLP(Crl) No. 7101/2024 (II)

IA No. 91501/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

Date : 12-05-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. ANJARIA

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Siddharth Aggarwal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Atul Shanker Mathur, Adv.
Ms. Priya Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sarvapriya Makkar, Adv.
Mr. Sidhant Saraswat, Adv.
Mr. Sidhant Saraswat, Adv.
Mr. Karan Dhalla, Adv.
Ms. Mugdha M, Adv.
M/s Khaitan & Co., AOR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Pranav Sachdeva, Adv.
Ms. Neha Rathi, Adv.
Ms. Kajal Giri, Adv.
Ms. Somya Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Prateek Yadav, Adv.

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Chinmayee Chandra, Adv.
Ms. Gargi Boss, Adv.
Ms. Bhagyashree Raj, Adv.
Ms. Khushboo Chaudhary, Adv.
Mr. Raman Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Chitvan Singhal, Adv.
Ms. Madhulika Upadhyay, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Taking us through paragraphs 36 and 37 of the order passed by the High Court against which this Court has dismissed the SLP vide order dated 13.05.2024, Shri Siddharth Aggarwal, learned senior counsel for the petitioner(s) would submit that under the High Court order, the SFIO is tasked with conducting forensic and other necessary investigations with a view to assist the CBI in carrying out the investigation as High Court has mainly referred the matter to CBI for investigation and High Court has never intended to involve multiple prosecution agencies to initiate separate investigation.
2. Let counter affidavit be filed within two weeks.
3. In the meanwhile, the SFIO may continue to do all such things for which High Court has permitted it in paragraph 36(iv),(vi) and (vii) of the impugned order. However, investigation report may not be submitted without leave of the Court and no coercive steps be taken against the petitioner-Company by SFIO.
4. Needles to say this Court has not stayed the investigation by the CBI.
5. List after two weeks.

(RASHI GUPTA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(AKSHAY KUMAR BHORIA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)