

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2013

CC 11747/2013

(From the judgment and order dated 29/05/2013 in WPSB No.816/2013 of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD, BENCH AT LUCKNOW)

RAGINI SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With application for permission to file SLP and prayer for interim relief)

Date: 17/06/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

[VACATION BENCH]

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. A.K. Ganguli, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Kaushal Yadav, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Nagendra Rai, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Shail Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Krishna Dwivedi, Adv.

Mr. Suresh Kumar Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Ganguli, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Nagendra Rai who has appeared for respondent No. 3 herein, the writ petitioner before the High Court.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the interim order passed by the High Court staying the transfer orders dated 2nd May, 2013 and 20th March, 2013 annexed to the Writ Petition as Annexures 1 and 2.

...2/-

Mr. Ganguli, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner vehemently submitted that earlier on 5th April, 2013, the High Court had passed the order of status quo and hence the High Court ought to have continued the order of status quo and ought not to have passed the order of stay of transfer.

Since this Special Leave Petition is only against an interlocutory order passed by the High Court, we are not inclined to entertain this Special Leave Petition and instead request the High Court to dispose of the Writ Petition itself within a period of two months from the date of filing of this order before the appropriate Bench of the High Court.

The petitioner before us may also move the High Court within such time as may be convenient both to the High Court as well as the parties to modify the impugned order of stay to one of status quo and and if such a move is made it will be open for the writ petitioner to oppose the prayer for modification.

[KALYANI GUPTA]
COURT MASTER

[[SHARDA KAPOOR]
COURT MASTER