

^
C.A.No. 5875 OF 2000
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
ITEM NO.103 COURT NO.5 SECTION-IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.5875/2000

State of Karnataka .. Appellant

Vs.

Y.B. Yalwar & Ors. .. Respondents
(With office report)

DATE : 5.2.2002: : This/These matter (s) was/were
called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. VENKATARAMA REDDI

For Appellant (s) : Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.
Mrs. Priya Hegde, Adv.

For Respondent (s) : Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.

Mr. S. Ravindra Bhat, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, learned counsel started his
arguments at 12 O'Clock and concluded at 12.25 p.m.
Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

(Meenu Sethi)
Court Master

(Om Prakash)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

.PA
.....L.....I.....J
.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.5875 OF 2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

The State of Karnataka .. Appellant

Vs.

Dr. Y.B. Yalwar & Ors.

.. Respondents

O_R_D_E_R@@
AAAAAAAAA

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

In the facts and circumstances of the case, we do not think it necessary to interfere with the order made by the High Court in a proceeding arising under Article 136 of the Constitution. Therefore, the appeal is dismissed accordingly.

.SP1

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[S. RAJENDRA BABU]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[P.VENKATARAMA REDDI]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

New Delhi,@@
AAAAAAAAA
February 5, 2002.