

ITEM NO.102

COURT NO.4

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 761/2011

PRITAM @ CHANDRAPRAKASH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

WITH

CrI.A. No. 758/2011 (II-A)

Date : 07-06-2018 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHUSHAN
(VACATION BENCH)

For Appellant(s) Dr. Aman M. Hingorani, Adv.
Ms. Priya Hingorani, Adv.
Ms. Kunika, Adv.
M/S. Hingorani & Associates, AOR

Mrs. Sudha Gupta, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR
Ms. Suvarna D., Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeals are partly allowed in above terms.
Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed
of.

(MADHU BALA)
COURT MASTER (SH)
(Signed order is placed on the file)

(PARVEEN KUMARI PASRICHA)
BRANCH OFFICER

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 761 OF 2011

PRITAM @ CHANDRAPRAKASH

...APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 758 OF 2011

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

The appellants have been convicted for the murder of one Sopan Ananda Sonawane.

We are satisfied that the conviction of the appellant ought to be under section 304 part I of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. Accordingly, the conviction will stand altered.

The appellants are said to have undergone about 13 years of custody. They may be released from custody forthwith, if not required in any other case.

The appeals are partly allowed in above terms.

.....J.
[ADARSH KUMAR GOEL]

NEW DELHI
7TH JUNE, 2018

.....J.
[ASHOK BHUSHAN]