ÆITEM NO.35 COURT NO.3 SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).26459/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 22/03/2011 in Misc. No.2583/2011 in WA No.3788/2005 of The HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE)

G.NIRMALA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MYSORE URBAN DEVT.AUTH.& ANR Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 30/09/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anand Sanjay M. Nuli, Adv.
Mr. R.D. Upadhyay, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that the costs awarded by the High Court have been deposited. The petitioner is aggrieved by the reprimand to the counsel. We have gone through the objected portion. Though the word used is 'reprimand', in page 6 of the order, it is only an advice given to a young counsel to conduct cases properly in future. It has to be taken as advice and need not be construed as some kind of punishment.

With the above clarification, Special leave petition is dismissed.

(Ravi P. Verma) (M.S. Negi)
AR-cum-PS Court Master