

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).16667/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 04/05/2010 in
of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

WP No. 444/2010

BRITISH INDIA CORP.LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned
Judgment and prayer for interim relief)

Date: 07/06/2010

This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

(VACATION BENCH)

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. P.P.Malhotra, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. B. Sunita Rao, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Amar Dave, Adv.
Ms. Nandini Gore, Adv.
Ms. Sonia Nigam, Adv.
Ms. Mansi Gupta, Adv. for
M/s. Karanjawala & Co.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

After having heard the learned counsel for the parties and after perusing the record, we find no ground to interfere with the interim order passed by the Division Bench of the High Court on 4/5/2010. The impugned order says that the matter has been directed to be listed before the Bench on 10/6/2010.

-2-

Learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently submitted before us that the observations made in paras 5 and 6 are contradictory to each other and the High Court at Bombay has no territorial jurisdiction to decide the matter. However, this fact has been seriously refuted by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents. Be that as it may, since the matter has been directed to be listed before the Bench on

10/6/2010 we do not find any reason to interfere with
the impugned order. However, both the parties would be
at liberty to agitate all questions before the Division
Bench and the Bench shall consider by the same on
merits and in accordance with law.

The special leave petition is disposed of with
the above observations.

[SUMAN WADHWA]
COURT MASTER

[SAVITA SAINANI]
COURT MASTER