

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6134 OF 2010

AMRIK SINGH & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE TR.LAQ COLLECTOR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Nobody appears on behalf of the appellants, even on second call.

Office report says that the appellants had not furnished the fresh and correct address of respondent Nos.2 to 5 and also not taken steps to bring on record LR's of deceased respondent No.6.

The appeal is, therefore, dismissed for non-prosecution against respondent Nos.2 to 5 and 6.

..... J.
(R.K. AGRAWAL)

New Delhi;
December 03, 2014.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Meenakshi Kohli
Date: 2014.12.10
17:42:08 IST

Reason:

ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.13

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 6134/2010

AMRIK SINGH & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE TR.LAQ COLLECTOR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(office report on default)

Date : 03/12/2014 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s) M/s. Temple Law Firm, Adv. (not represented)

For Respondent(s) Ms. C. K. Sucharita, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution against respondent
Nos. 2 to 5 and 6 in terms of the signed order.

(R.NATARAJAN)
Court Master

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)
Court Master