

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
IA No.139 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5168 OF 2000

SANCHAYANI SAVINGS INVESTMENT(I) LTD.& ORS Appellant (s)
VERSUS
STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions and office report)

Date: 04/02/2013 This I.A.was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

For Appellant(s) Mr.Ashok Mathur, Adv.
Mr.Ashish Sethi, Adv.
Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad, Adv.

Mr. G.K. Bansal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.P.P.Malhotra, ASG
Mr.S.Wasim A.Qadri, Adv.
Mr.Chetan Chawla, Adv.
Mrs.Rashmi Malhotra, Adv.

Mr.V.Giri, Sr.Adv.
Mr. C.K. Sasi, Adv.

Mr. J.P. Dhanda, Adv.
Mr.Vineet Dhanda, Adv.

Mr. Deba Prasad Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr.Atul Jha, Adv.
Mr.Sandeep Jha, Adv.
Mr.Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Mr.B.Ramanamurthy, Adv.
Ms.Susmita Lal, Adv.

Mr.Sanjay Kharde, Adv.

Mr.Gaurav Jain, Adv.
Ms. Abha Jain, Adv.

Mr.Anip Sachthey, Adv.
Mr.Mohit Paul, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, Adv.
Mr.Bikas Kargupta, Adv.
Mrs.Sarbani Kar, Adv.

Mr. G.K. Bansal, Adv.

Mr. N. Ganpathy, Adv.

Mr. P.N. Puri, Adv.

Mr. Subhash Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R., Adv.

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Adv.

Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. P.S.Sudheer, Adv.
Mr.Rishi Maheshwari, Adv.
Mr.Abu John Mathew, Adv.
Mr. Kunal Verma, Adv.
Mr.Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.
Ms.Sharmila Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr.Pavan Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A.No.139 of 2013 in I.A.Nos.83-92 in C.A.No.5168 of 2000

In this application, the applicants have made the following substantive prayers:

"1. Accept the prevalent market rate of Flat No.E-501 & Flat No.E-502, from the applicants, as assessed by the Special Officer appointed by this Hon'ble Court.

ii. Pass an order of de-sealing of Flat No.E-501 & Flat No.E-502, situated in Sanchayani Prestige Complex, Swawlambi Nagar, Nagpur (Maharashtra) as the applicants are prepared to deposit the prevalent cost of the flat.

iii. Drop the contempt of court proceedings as initiated against the applicants by this Hon'ble Court."

We have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants and perused the record.

In our view, there is no valid ground or justification to entertain the prayer made in the application. The same is accordingly dismissed.

Contempt Petition (C)No.49 of 2013 in C.A.No.5168 of 2000

In this petition, the petitioner has prayed for initiation of contempt proceedings against the Special Officer. for having deliberately flouted the judgments and order dated 11.02.2005 passed by this Court.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

In our view, the petitioner has failed to make out a case for initiation of contempt proceedings against the Special Officer. The Contempt Petition is accordingly dismissed.

I.A.No.140 of 2013 in I.A.Nos.83-92 in C.A.No.5168 of 2000

The application is disposed of by allowing the applicants to take the documents relating to the students under the supervision of the Special Officer.

List the remaining I.As and the contempt petition after two weeks.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master