

C.A.No. 5168 OF 2000
ITEM No.2

Court No.11

SECTION XVI
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. 10-11 in
Civil Appeal No.5168/2000

SANCHAYANI SAVINGS INVESTMENT(I) LT.&ORS

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS

Respondent (s)

(For appointment of Special Officer and exemption from filing the official Translation and office report)

(I.A. For intervention and impleadment)

Date : 28/11/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

For Appellant (s) Mr. Ajit Kumar Pande,Adv.
Mr. S.V. Deshpande,Adv.

For Respondent (s)
Mr. Deba Prasad Mukherjee,Adv.
Mr. Rana Mukherjee,Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Gautam,Adv.
Mr. Goodwill Indeevar,Adv.
Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.
Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R.,Adv.
Mr. G.K. Bansal,Adv.
Mr. B.B. Sawhney,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Ritesh Kumar,Adv.
Ms. Indra Sawhney,Adv.
Mr. S.N. Bhat,Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Sen,Adv.
Mrs. Sarla Chandra

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

On behalf of the appellants it is submitted that the appellant has furnished two lists of properties to the Special Officer but those properties do not form part of the report submitted by the Special Officer. It is also submitted that the grievance of the Special Officer that the appellant had not been cooperating is not correct. A request has been made that the order passed by this Court that he shall not be arrested for 30 days may be extended so that he may further assist the special Officer. It is also suggested that those who have furnished some information they may be included in a committee for the purpose which may consider all these things. Suffice it to say that the Special Office

r shall take into consideration the two lists of properties which are said to have been furnished by the appellant. It is also provided that if the appellant or any other person likes to furnish any information in regard to the properties and assets of the appellants he may do so and furnish the same to the Special Officer which shall also be taken into account. We do not think it will be feasible to accede any other request made on behalf of the appellants.

The learned counsel appearing for the Special Officer informs that they are preparing a scheme indicating the steps which would be necessary to be taken to locate the various properties and other assets of the appellants and the manner in which it may have to be done so that if any hurdle is felt, appropriate order or direction may be given by this Court in regard thereto.

The Special Officer shall, in two weeks submit the scheme indicating the manner in which they would proceed with the matter further.

I.As. for impleadment and intervention are allowed.

It is clarified that the order which was passed earlier by this Court to the effect that the appellant shall not be arrested for 30 days has lapsed and it is no more in operation.

List after two weeks.

(Sarojbala)
PA to Addl.Registrar

(Promila Nagpal)
Court Master