

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.9

SECTION XVI

(PART-HEARD)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5168 OF 2000

SANCHAYANI SAVINGS INVESTMENT(I) LT.&ORS

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions & intervention and impleading party and Directions and permission

to submit additional document(s) and clarification of Court's order and Intervention and office

report))

WITH CONMT.PET.(C) NO. 389 of 2004 In C.A.No.5168/2000

(With appln(s) for permission to submit additional document(s) and office report)

WITH I.A.NO....."Application for Directions" and I.A.No.32 "Application for re calling the order

dated 07.08.2001

Date: 03/05/2005 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

For parties:

Mr. S.V. Deshpande, Adv.

Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, Adv.

Mr. A.N. Bardiyar, Adv.

St.of W.B.

Mr. Rana Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Gautam, Adv.

Mr. Goodwill Indeevar ,Adv.

Mr.Suehit Mohanty, Adv.

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu ,Adv.

RBI

Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R. ,Adv

Ms. Manisha Singh, Adv.

Mr.Srinjoy Banerjee, Adv.

Mr.Shanta Pandey, Adv.

For contemnor

In IA 7

Mr.Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Bansal, Adv.

Mr. G.K. Bansal ,Adv.

Mr.Ajay Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. B.B. Sawhney, Sr. Adv.

2

Mr. Mohd.A.Siddqui, Adv.

Mr. Aman Usman, Adv.

Mr. Mohd. Jamal Nasir, Adv.

Ms. Indra Sawhney ,Adv.

Mr. S.N. Bhat, Adv.

Mr. Abhish Kumar, Adv.

IA17

Mr. Ajit Kumar Pande, Adv.

Mr.Vivek K.Tankha, Sr. Adv.

Mr.Anoop Chaudhary, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Harsh Pathak, Adv.

Mr. S.N. Pargapati, Adv.

Mr. Sidharth Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Anurag Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Kumar ,Adv.

Mr. Mukesh K. Giri ,Adv.

for the applicant

Mr. Vishwajit Singh ,Adv.

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ashiesh Kumar ,Adv.

Ms. Suparna Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Srivastava, Adv.

St.of Chhattisgarh

Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. T.Raja ,Adv.

Mr. C.K. Sasi, Adv.

Mr.G.S. Chatterjee, Adv.

Mr. Dhruv Dewan, Adv.

for M/S Suresh A. Shroff & Co. ,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

List the matter on Thursday, 21st July, 2005. To be listed as first item (part-heard).

Pursuant to our order of April 26, 2005, the State of Madhya Pradesh is represented

by its counsel. The City Superintendent of Police, Jabalpur has really no explanation to offer as to

why the signatures of Mr. Sudipto Sen said to be appearing on the power of attorney have not been

got compared and report obtained from the Sagar Forensic Laboratory. However, we direct him to

3

do so immediately.

It appears that Mr. Sudipto Sen for one or the other reason has not been able to get in

touch with the City Superintendent of Police, Jabalpur, for his interrogation. We, therefore,

directed that he should be present in Court today. He is accordingly present and the City

Superintendent of Police, Jabalpur has come prepared to interrogate him. In these circumstances,

with a view to avoid any future complications or difficulties, as pleaded by Mr. Sudipto Sen, we

direct the Registrar General of this Court to make some space available to the City Superintendent of

police, Jabalpur where he can interrogate Mr. Sudipto Sen. We are informed that he will start his

interrogation at 1.00 P.M. today. Both are directed to appear before the Registrar General at 12.45

P.M. so that he can appropriately instruct them.

The City Superintendent of Police, Ambikapur is also present. He states that

investigation is in progress but has not come to any definite conclusion so far. He says that a large

number of persons claiming to be depositors have yet to be examined by him and only there after a

clear picture will emerge about the involvement of the persons concerned. We hope he will be able

to complete the investigation by the time this matter comes up before us again after summer vacation.

I.A.31

Mr. R.K. Gupta, appears on behalf of the applicants in I.A.31/05. We request counsel

for the Special Officer to examine the matter since reliefs are asked for by the applicants which do

not appear to be connected with the matter with which we are concerned. However, learned counsel

will make his submissions on the next date.

I.A.32

This IA be also examined by the learned counsel for the Special Officer.

I.A.29

Counsel for the parties are directed to examine whether the members of the petitioner

association have filed their claims before the Special Officer. Put up on the next date.

I.A.30

Parties state that the matter is under the negotiations and it will take a little more time

to settle the dispute.

4

Unregistered I.A.No.....

Let the application filed on behalf of the State of West Bengal which is unregistered,

but shown on the daily cause list today, be placed for orders along with other matters on the next

date i.e.21st of July, 2005.

(Sheetal Dhingra)

Court Master

(Vijay Dhawan)

Court Master