

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.12

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1731/2009

RISHI RAM SHARMA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

DINESH KUMAR @ RAJU & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH

CrI.A. No. 1942/2010

Date: 16/04/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.
Mr. Anurag Rawat, Adv.
Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, Addl.AG, HP
Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Anil Nag, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Kr. K., Adv.

Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(VISHAL ANAND)
COURT MASTER

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
Criminal Appeal No(s). 1731/2009

RISHI RAM SHARMA Appellant(s)

VERSUS

DINESH KUMAR @ RAJU & ANR. Respondent(s)

WITH

Criminal Appeal No. 1942/2010

STATE OF HIMACHAL PRADESH Appellant(s)

VERSUS

DINESH KUMAR @ RAJU Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Irshad Ahmad, learned counsel appearing for the appellant - complainant in Criminal Appeal No. 1731 of 2009 and Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the State of Himachal Pradesh in Criminal Appeal No. 1942 of 2010 at length today.

We do not find that there is any reason to interfere with the impugned order dated 4-7-2008 so passed by the High Court of Himachal Pradesh.

In view of that, we find no merit in the appeals filed by the appellant - complainant and the State of Himachal Pradesh.

Accordingly, the Appeals are dismissed.

.....J
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

NEW DELHI;
16TH APRIL, 2015

