

\216

C.A.No. 3232-3234 OF 2000
ITEM NO.102COURT NO.10SECTION-XVI
(PART-HEARD)

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.3232-3234 OF 2000

RAMAKRISHNA VIVEKANANDA MISSION

.....
APPELLANT(S)

:VERSUS:

STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

.....
RESPONDENT(S)

(With application for stay and Office Report)

(WITH I.A.NOS.16-18: APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO URGE
ADDITIONAL GROUNDS OF APPEAL)

Date: 26/02/2004 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

For the Appellant(s)Mr. Dipankar P. Gupta,Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Sen,Adv.
Ms. Indra Sawhney,Adv.

For the Respondent(s)Mr. Mukesh Rohatgi, ASG
Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma,Adv.
Ms. Neelam Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Tarun Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Ajay Sharma,Adv.

Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta,Adv.
Mr. Sheo Kumar Gupta,Adv.
Mr. Bhanu Pratap Gupta,Adv.
Mr. A.N. Bardaiyar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

During the course of the arguments, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is prepared to forgo the grant-in-aid which is being paid by the Government to the Institution. But in that event it will be difficult for the appellant to pay to about 12 approved teachers, including the two respondents
....2/-

-2-

in this appeal, plus three approved clerks in the office, in their present scale of pay in respect of which the grant is being paid. Hence, in the event of the appellant not taking the aid, the Government may accommodate all the approved teachers and the staff, indicated above, in some other institutions. If that be possible, the appellant would forgo the grant. The lear

ned counsel for the respondents may seek necessary response from the Government.
List after three weeks.

A copy of this order may be issued to the parties by tomorrow.

(A.S. BISHT) (SURAJ PRAKASH)
COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER