

J

C.A.No. 3728 OF 1999
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
ITEM NO. 105 COURT NO. 5 SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3728/1999

State of Haryana & Ors. .. Appellant (s)

Vs.

M.L. Gupta & Anr. .. Respondent(s)

DATE : 28.2.2002 : This/These matter (s) was/were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. VENKATARAMA REDDI

For Appellant (s) : Mr. Neeraj Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. J.P. Dhanda, Adv.

For Respondent (s) :

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

Mr. Neeraj Kumar Jain, learned counsel argued from 11.15 a.m. to 11.20 a.m.
The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

Charanjit [Om Prakash]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3728/1999@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

State of Haryana & Ors. .. Appellants

Vs.

M.L. Gupta & Anr. .. Respondents

O_R_D_E_R@@
AAAAAAAAA

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

The High Court has followed an earlier order made by it in L.P.A. 756/1991 which was dismissed on 25.11.1992 and special leave petition preferred against it also stood dismissed by order made on 13.5.1993. In the circumstances, it would not be appropriate for us to interfere with the order made by the High Court. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed.

.SP1

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[S. RAJENDRA BABU]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[P. VENKATARAMA REDDI]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

New Delhi,@@
AAAAAAAAA
February 28, 2002@@
AAAAAAAAA