

ITEM NO.17

COURT NO.11

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2010
(CC 16491/2010)
(From the judgement and order dated 16/09/2009 in FA No. 1053/1995
of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

JAIVEER SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NUCLEAR POWER CORP.LTD.& ANR

Respondent(s)

With I.A.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)	c/delay in refiling SLP and
WITH S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 16532 of 2010	
With I.A.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)	c/delay in refiling SLP and
S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 16568 of 2010	
With I.A.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)	c/delay in refiling SLP and
S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 16574 of 2010	
With I.A.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)	c/delay in refiling SLP and
S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 16625 of 2010	
With I.A.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)	c/delay in refiling SLP and

Date: 08/11/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Munendra Kumar Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

These petitions are directed against judgment dated 16.09.2009
of the Division Bench of the Allahabad High Court whereby it
allowed the first appeals preferred by the respondents against the
award passed by District Judge, Bulandshahr and remanded the case
2
for fresh determination of the compensation payable to the
petitioners herein.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and perused
the record.

Since the impugned judgment has been rendered by the Court on
the basis of consent given by learned counsel for the petitioners,
we do not find any justification to interfere with the same.

The special leave petitions are accordingly dismissed.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master