

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Miscellaneous Application No. 1084/2026 in SLP(C) No. 10396/2023

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 17-02-2026 in SLP(C) No. 10396/2023 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

PRAMOD & ORS.

Applicant(s)/
Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 88099/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No. 88087/2026 - EXTENSION OF TIME)

WITH

MA 1085-1087/2026 in SLP(C) No. 11651-11653/2023 (IX)
(IA No. 89612/2026 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION and IA No. 89608/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 10-04-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Arunabh Chowdhury, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Pragya Baghel, AOR
Ms. Trisha Chandran, Adv.
Mr. Anirudha Mahadevan Sethi, Adv.
Mr. Madhav Gupta, Adv.
Mrs. Shweta Parihar, Adv.
Mr. Yuvraj Kashyap, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Krishna, Adv.
Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, AOR

Mr. M.Y. Deshmukh, AOR
Mr. D.M. Kale, Adv.
Mr. Athava Kale, Adv.
Ms. Manjeet Kirpal, Adv.
Mr. Ashwathama, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Heard Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned Solicitor General of India representing the State of Maharashtra as well as other learned senior counsel on behalf of Municipal Council, Shegaon and Shri Gajanan Maharaj Sansthan, Shegaon.
2. In clarification/modification of paragraphs 13, 14, and 15 of the order dated 17.02.2026, we place on record the statement of Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned Solicitor General representing the State of Maharashtra, to the effect that there is a project (commercial complex) that is complete in all respect, owned by the Municipal Council, located at Gandhi Chowk on main road of Shegaon city and is located at just 425 meters from the site in dispute. It is stated that the same is ready for rehabilitation of the applicants, and eligible applicants shall be allotted shops in the aforesaid complex on ownership basis, at par with other similarly situated shop owners.
3. However, it goes without saying that if an applicant(s) does not opt for the shop being offered to it, liberty is granted to pursue the appropriate remedy for enhancement of compensation in accordance with law.
4. The applicant-shop owners are granted two weeks' time to vacate and handover the premises.
5. Miscellaneous Applications stand disposed of with pending application(s), if any.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR