

ITEM NO.24

COURT NO.6

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 11237-11240/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-03-2026 in WA No. 1811/2025 10-03-2026 in WA No. 1816/2025 10-03-2026 in WA No. 1888/2025 10-03-2026 in WA No. 1892/2025 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

BALLENAHALLI MILK PRODUCERS  
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LTD & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 91564/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 92236/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

WITH

SLP(C) No. 11164/2026 (IV-A)

FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 91325/2026

FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES ON IA  
93400/2026

IA No. 91325/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 93400/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 06-04-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Vivek Jain, AOR  
Ms. Suchitra Kumbhat, Adv.  
Mr. Sadiq Noor, Adv.  
Mr. Rohit H Nair, Adv.  
Ms. Benila Bm, Adv.  
Mr. Angad Pathak, Adv.

Mr. Sheshadhri Naidu, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Hegde, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sharanagouda Patil, Adv.  
Mrs. Supreeta Sharanagouda, Adv.  
Mr. Jayreddy, Adv.

Mr. Yash S Tiwari, Adv.  
Mr. Kotresh A M, Adv.  
M/S. S-legal Associates, AOR

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Raghavendra Seetharam Srivasta, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Kirit S. Javali, Adv.  
Mr. Vedant Singh, AOR

Mr. P.B. Suresh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. H. Chandra Sekhar, AOR  
Mr. Jadhav Vishal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(C) No(s). 11237-11240/2026

1. Heard learned senior counsel for the parties at length.
2. We see no reason to entertain the Special Leave Petitions. The special leave petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

SLP(C) No. 11164/2026

4. Heard learned senior counsel for the parties at length.
5. Mr. D. S. Naidu and Mr. Sanjay Hegde, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner have raised questions relating to the legality and validity of the conclusions about quality of milk in the context of Bye-Law No. 15. These issues are factual in nature and therefore, the petitioner should have invoked the appellate jurisdiction under Section 70(2)(c) of Karnataka Co-operative Society Act, 1959 (for short, 'the Act'). In the normal course, we would not have relegated the petitioner to the alternative remedy, in view of the fact that they have already invoked the jurisdiction of the High Court under Article 226 of the

Constitution of India. However, in view of the fact that learned counsel for the petitioners confined their submissions to the interpretation of law arising out of Section 20 of the Act and nothing more, we permit the petitioner(s) to avail the statutory remedy. If such an appeal is filed, the same shall be taken up and disposed of expeditiously. We have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the matter, the Appellate Court will decide the appeal on its own merits without being influenced by any observations relating to the facts of the case.

6. With these observations, the special leave petition is, disposed of.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAPIL TANDON)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NIDHI WASON)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR